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The mantle is, ronghly speaking, an elongated retort; sonewhat narrow and cylindrical at the entrance, bulging out gradually from about onefourth to one-thind from the orifice, the broadest part lying at about the anterior one-third. From this point it first gradually harrows till about the anterio edge of the attachment of the fins, whence posteriorly it tapers rather suddenly, the posterior one-fourtly forming nearly a straight tube. It is also cylindrical near its orifice, becoming tlattened posteriorly.

The anterior edge of the mantle shows a conical projection on the mid-dorsal line, flanking on both sides with it slight concavity to the lateral angular projections. The ventral eilge of the mantle is rather deeply concare, the perpendicular of the deepest median portion of the concavity being nearly one-fourth the distance between the angular projections.

The actual lengths and the ciremmferences of the mantle of five specimens measured are as follows:-
No. of Specimens. No. 1. No. 2. No. 3. No. 1. No. 5.

Length of the mantle 192 mm .147 mm .183 mm .185 mm .275 mm. Circumference at its orifice.... $125 \mathrm{~mm} .10 \check{\mathrm{~mm}} .115 \mathrm{~mm} .115 \mathrm{~mm} .16 \check{\mathrm{mmm}}$. Circumference at the anterior extremity of the attaclı $\} 7 \mathrm{~mm}$. $72 \mathrm{~mm} . \quad 90 \mathrm{~mm} . ~ S 7 \mathrm{~mm} . ~ 1: 30 \mathrm{~mm}$. ment of the fin. $\qquad$
The surface of the mantie and the head as well as the aboral surface of the basal portion of the arms show the warty apparanco characteristic
of the genus. On the mantle this appearance is cansed by longitudinal elevations of the dermis, which are about $0.3-0.8 \mathrm{~mm}$ in diameter, and anastomosing one another by branches placed obliquely, leaving elongated depressions. These depressions are, for the most part, nearly of the same diameter as the elevations between them, but sometimes broader. The structure of the dermis on the head differs in this that the elevations are in form of semi-spheres of about $0.5-0.6 \mathrm{~mm}$ in diameter placed close together, giving an appearance like that of shagreen.

It is to be remarked, howerer, that these elevations and depressions differ considerably according to the state of preservation of the animals. Thms in one specimen the elevations on the mantle are more or less in the slape of polygons, giving the appearance of a reticulated structure, while in others, the elevations seem to be contracted into numerous semispherical nodules, which give to the surface an appearance like that we find on the head.

The fins are of an elongated rhomboidal shape, the anterior end of each forming a free romded lobe and inserted a little on the side of the median line : the inner posterior angle of the lobe is turned slightly medianwards. The distance between the lobes equals about two-fifths of thie mantle diameter at the corresponding place. The length of the fin is a little longer than half the length of the mantle. The greatest breacth across the lateral angles is a little less than its length taken from the loase of the anterior lobe to its extremity. The lateral angles are broadly rounded, aud lie in a line at about one-fifth of the length of the fin. The antero-lateral margin is slightly convex, the postero-lateral also convex, but with a slight concavity along the middle portion, becoming convex again posteriorly, and ends on the dorso-lateral side of the mantle a little in front of its extremity.

The actual measurements of the fins in the five specimens are as follows:-
No. of Specimens. No. 1. No. $2 . \quad$ No. $3 . \quad$ No. $4 . \quad$ No. 5
Mantle length...................... 192 mm .147 mm .183 mm .185 mm .275 mm .
Length of the fin................. 105 mm . $81 \mathrm{~mm} .100 \mathrm{~mm} . ~ 97 \mathrm{~mm} .149 \mathrm{~mm}$.
Sength of the fin in \% of the) mantle length $\qquad$

$$
54 \% \quad 55 \% \quad 54 \% \quad 52 \% \quad 54 \%
$$

| o. | No. 1. | No. 2. | No. 3. | Ni. 4. | No. 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Length of the line of attachment of the fin | $9 . \mathrm{mmm}$. | 73 mmn . | 92 mm . | SSmm. | 141 ml |
| Breadth of the fin across the lateral augles. | 98 nim. | S3mm. | 100 mm . | 97 mm . | 132 mm |
| Breadth of the fin in \% of the mantle lengtl. | 49\% | \% 50 | . 40 | 52\% | 45\% |

Distance from the posterior end of the fin to the line 65 mm . 56 mm . $6: 3 \mathrm{~mm}$. 70 mm . 1016 mm . across the lateral angles.....)

The siphonal groove is large and tolerably deep, with a distinct ridge along its side, which posteriorly becomes conftnent with the rentral lougitudinal fold of the meck. Anteriorly the edge of the groove becomes contimons with the general ventral surface of the head, each anterior curt of the groove leing represented by a rounded depression separated by a flattened ridge, the surface of which being continuons with the general ventral surface of the head. This ridge soon bifureates with a rounded angle, and runs posteriorly on each side parallel with the lateral margins of the groore as a narrow ridge to the base of the groove. The siphon is rather' broad, narrow anteriorly, with a large transterse orifice ; the siphonal organ is large and conspicnous, the median umpaired piece is of an arrow-head shape, the posterior end of the arms extending out of the postero-ventral margin of the siphon ; the lateral pieces are long-orate, its anterior portion slightly broader than its posterior, and its diameter a little less than its length.

The siphonal cartilage is somewhat brouder posteriorly, the inner margin nearly straight, while the outer margin bulges out slightly near the anter:or third, then showing a slight and gradual curvature, again bulging out at the posterior end. The diameter near the posterior end equals a little lens than one-third of the length, and abont three-fomeths of the diameter near the anterior end. The groore is hoad and shallow, and of in similar shape to that of the cartilage itself; its inner edge deepens rather abruptly, becoming gradually shallow outward. The mantle is represented hy it long linear ridge, fading out posteriorly; its length is nearly one :mit one half that of the siphonal cartilage.

The actual measurements of the siphon in our specimens Nos. 1 and 2 are as follows:-

|  | No. of Specimens. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mantle length. | No. 1. | No. 2. |
| Length of the siphon aloug the side. | 38 mm . | 37.0 mm . |
| Length in mid-ventral line... | 23 mm . | 22.5 mm . |
| Length of the siphonal cartilage. | 24 mm . | 23.0 mm . |

The head is rather short, narrower than the mantle orifice; the dorsal surface is slightly convex, the rentral surface is less so, the median line of the latter more or less flattened. The dorso-rentral diameter of the head nearly equals the diameter between the upper margin of the eyes, which is greater than the length. The orifice of the eye not very large, a deep sinus near the base of the lid. The buccal membrane with seven points, seven fastenings and six pores; the inner surface with lougitudinal folds.

The actual measurements of the head of the five specimens are as follows :-

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
\text { No. of Specimens. } & \text { No. 1. } & \text { No. } 2 . & \text { No. } 3 . & \text { No. } 4 . & \text { No. } 5 .
\end{array}
$$

Length, dorsal surface...... 29 mm .24 mm .25 mm .25 mm .30 mm .
Length, rentral surface...... 17 mm .11 mm .14 mm .13 mm .17 mm .
Breadth between the eyes... $: 2 \mathrm{~mm} .27 \mathrm{~mm} .30 \mathrm{~mm} .30 \mathrm{~mm} .37 \mathrm{~mm}$.
Thickness..................... 34 mm .27 mm .31 mm .31 mm .38 mm .
The posterior margin of the head is produced posteriorly in form of a broad triangular process in the median line, whence laterally it rus more or less in a wary line till to the anterior end of the third longitudinal fold of the neck, which is situated in a line passing through the middle of the eye lid. The continuation of this marginal line also slows a wary ontline, the anterior ends of the first, the second and the third longitudinal folds of the neck lying at the bottom of the wares, if the head of the animal is placed aray from the observer. The entire margin, though rery distinct, specially ly the difference in colour between the liead and the neck, rloez not slow any well defined ridge which fades away near the base of the first longitudinal fold. This fold which is as said before, continnous
with the edge of the siphonal groove, forms an obtuse, more or less romeded angle with it. It is a membranous piece and rms obliguely candodorsalward; its antero-inferior portion is rather high, gradually becoming low and insignificant, and ends near the median portion of the second fold. This fold begins with a slight notch from the posterior margin of the head, in the line passing through the dorsal base of the fourth arm, i.c. a little rentral to the level of the lower eye-lid. It is larger than the first, lut rums nearly in the same direction witl 1 ; its posterior margin is rounded, but not quite uniform, being interrupted by an olfactory lobe. The third fold begins in the line passing throngh the middle of the eye-lid, a little above the sinus, where the margin of the head makes an angular projection candalwards with which the fold joins the same. It is the largest of the three, having a similar shape to that of the sceond. The line of attachment of the fold on the side of the neck differs, however, a little from that of the second. While, for the rentral half, it runs obliquely dorsalward, its postero-dorsal half runs transversally to the neek, with the dorsal end more or less curved anteriorly. The dorsal end of the third fold lies in a line somewhat ventral to the line between the first and the second arms. The posterior half of the fold then gites the appearance of being a part of the posterior cirenlar fold of the neek. No fold can be seen on the nape.

The arms are subequal in length, the first is the shortest, then comes the third, while the second and the fourth are sometimes of the same and sometimes of differont lengths, lut are always longer than tho third. The actual measurements of the arms of our specimens are as follows:-

$$
\begin{array}{llllll}
\text { No. of Specimens. } & \text { No. 1. } & \text { No. 2. } & \text { No. 3. } & \text { Nu. } 4^{2} . & \text { No. } 5 .
\end{array}
$$

Lengtle of the mantle.......... 192 mm .147 mm .183 mm .185 mm . 275 mm .

Length of the 2nd arm.. $\left\{\begin{array}{llll}\text { left } & 112^{1} \mathrm{~mm}, ~ 136 \mathrm{~mm} .143 \mathrm{~mm}, ~ 128 \mathrm{~mm} .185 \mathrm{~mm} . \\ \text { right } & 139 \mathrm{~mm} .131 \mathrm{~mm} .120^{1} \mathrm{~mm}, 125 \mathrm{~mm} .175 \mathrm{~mm} .\end{array}\right.$

1 Tip of the arm is lost.
2 The arms of the specimen Nu. \& are strungly contracted owing to the conlition of preservation.

$$
\text { No. of Specimens. No. 1. No. } 2 . \quad \text { No. } 3 . \quad \text { No. } 4 . \quad \text { No. } 5 .
$$

Lengtle of the 3 rd arm.. $\left\{\begin{array}{lllll}\text { left } & 132 \mathrm{~mm} . & 120 \mathrm{~mm} . & 107^{1} \mathrm{~mm} . & 120 \mathrm{~mm} . \\ \text { right } & 132 \mathrm{~mm} . & 113 \mathrm{~mm} . & 76^{1} \mathrm{~mm} . & 123 \mathrm{~mm} . \\ \hline 160 \mathrm{~mm} .\end{array}\right.$ Length of the 4 th arm... $\left\{\begin{array}{lllll}\text { left } & 140 \mathrm{~mm} . & 131 \mathrm{~mm} . & 141 \mathrm{~mm} . & 126 \mathrm{~mm} . \\ \text { right } & 138 \mathrm{~mm} . & 122 \mathrm{~mm} . & 143 \mathrm{~mm} . & 125 \mathrm{~mm} . \\ \hline\end{array} 180 \mathrm{~mm}\right.$.

The arms are all rather stout, but their distal portion attenuates toward the tip. The first arm shows a low membrane ruming along the outer margin of the basal portion ; it is very distinct at its base where it is continuous with a less developed one on the inner margin of the second arm, and can be traced till about the middle of the length of the arm. A similar membrane is seen on the second arm. It is more developed than that of the first, and can be traced to the tip of the arm, the basal portion of it forming a web between the second and the third arms. The membrane of the third arm is as usual the largest. It begins a little way from the base of the arm slightly ventral (in the body of the animal) to the above stated web which is continnous from the basal portion of the membrane of the second arm, becoming gradually higher till about one-third from the base of the arm, where it is nearly one and a half times as high as the thickness of the arm at the corresponding point. From this point distally it again becomes lower, and can be traced to the extreme tip of the arm. The fourth arm has a well developed membrane on the outer margin along the entire length. At the base it is continuous with the web extending from the onter ventral base of the third arm, and is at its basal portion a little lower than the thickness of the arm. The protecting membranes are similarly developed on all the arms. These are thin membranes nearly equal in height to the entire length of the sucker of the corresponding part and supported by a series of fleshy transverse bands or ridges standing rather obliquely outward from the base of each sucker. These ridges being a little higher than the membrane between them, give a scalloped outline to the margin of the membrane. The suckers on the arms are arranged in two alternating rows. In all the arms the last sucker is the smallest and begins nearly at the same distance from the base ; the following suckers become gradually larger, those of the 6th11th leeing generally the largest, whence they get again smaller as we
proceed toward the tip of the arm. On the proximal portion the suckers are globular in shape with shorter pedicels, and more widely set, while distally they become more crowded, each sucker somewhat more shallow and with longer and more slender pedicel. The chitinons ring without dentition, the margin standing ont like a collar, with rery fine denticulation.

The suckers on the arms of one of ons spemmens (No. 1) are counted as follors:-

|  | Left | Right |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st arm | 46 | 40 |
| 2nd arm | $19^{3}$ | 57 |
| 3rd arm | 51 | 50 |
| 4 th arm | 56 | $5 \%$ |

The tentacles are longer than the mantle, their proportional lengths being as follows:-

No. of Specimens. No. 1. No. 2. No. 3. No. 4. No. 5.
Left tentacle.............. 112 mm .14 .5 mm .153 mm .191 mm .323 mm .
Right tentacle............ $100 \mathrm{~mm} .194 \mathrm{~mm} .177 \mathrm{~mm} .94^{4} \mathrm{~mm} .331 \mathrm{~mm}$.
The basal portion of the stalk of the tentacle is more or less semicircular, with the flattened dorsal and the rounded rentral surfaces. Distally the inner side of this rounded surface becomes tlattened, aud the diameter of the teutacle thus becomes more or less triangular, with the inner and the dorsal sides flattened and with the romnded rentral side. Near the carpal portion, the dorsal side also hecomes somewhat romded. A distinct swimming membrane along the onter margin of the stalk extending to near the base of the distal (rentral) look of the fourth or fifth row on the hand portion of the club. This membrane is not high, but nearly equally developed all along its length. Along the dorso-inner margin of the carpal portion of the chut, the imer margin of the dorsal surface becomes raised in form of a distinct ridge, then membranons as it contimes to the hand portion, where it ents near the fifth or sixth hook from the base. Another membrane is formal on the rontral outer side of

[^0]the same. This membrane is nearly of the same length as the last, but is more dereloped, and begins at the rentral inner side of the distal end of the carpal portion, rumning transwersally at first, and then with a broad cure it turns somewhat obliquely toward the rentral outer side, to end at about the serenth hook of the hand whence distally it can be traced along the base of the dorsal hooks to the end of the tentacle as a very low ridge. A similar but more dereloped membrane is seen along the base of the rentral hooks.

On the dorsal side of the club, midway between the end of the swimming membrane and the abore stated membrane along the dorso-inner margin of the distal two-thirds of the club, a third membrane is to be observed. It begins at about the level of the fourth row of hooks and ean be traced to the extreme tip of the tentacle, forming the outer edge of the same which is here dorso-rentrally flattened.

The fixing apparatus of the carpal portion consists of a group of pads and suckers, confined in an oral area, and surrounded by a distinct membrane. These, when observed from the rentral proximal one obliquely dorsalwards, are seen to be roughly arranged in six rows, the relative positions of the suckers ( $S$ ) and pads ( $P$ ) in the fire specimens being arranged as follows:-

| No. of Specimens. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The fixing apparatus. | No. 1. | No. 2 | No. 3. | No. 4 |  |
|  | S. P.S. | P. S. | P. S. P. | S. P. | S. P. |
| Right. | P. P. P. | S.S.S. | S.S.S.S. | P.P.P. | S. S. S. |
|  | S.S.S.S. P. P. P. |  | P.P.P.P. S. |  | P. P. P. P. |
|  | P. P. 1 . | S. S. S. | S.P.S. | P.P.P. | S.S.S. |
|  | S. I'.S. | P. S. 1 '. |  | S. P. S. | P.S.P. |
| Total number of S it P | SS 81 | SS 71 | 7S 71 | 7S 81 | 8S 71 |
|  | P. S. P'. | S. 1 '. | S. P. S. | S. P. | P.S. |
| Left. | S.S.S. | P. P. P. | P. P. P. P'. | S. S. S. | T.P.P. |
|  | P. P. P. P' | S.S.S.S | S.S.S.S. | P. P. P. | S.S.S.S. |
|  | S.S.S. | P. P. P. | P.S.P. | S.S.S. | P.P.P |
|  | P.S.P. | S.P.S. |  | P.S.I'. | S. P. S. |
| 'Total number of $S \mathbb{S} \mathrm{P}$ | 8, 51 | 7S 7P | 8S 7P. | SS 7 ${ }^{\text {P }}$ | 7S SP |

It will be remarked in this comnting, that in the most distal row the median one (pad or sucker) is always situated further distally than the ruter two, the three elements here forming a triangle.

The hand portion is armed with thirteen pairs of hooks placed oblifuely on the inner surface of the tentacles, the distal half of this portion facing gradually towards the dorsal side. The first hook begins either with a rentral or with a dorsal one, and either directly distal or somewhat further away from the fixing apparatus. The hooks are of variable size; the smaller ones have relatively broader lases than the larger, the recurved portion is also longer relatively than the not curved stalk. The first sucker, when a dorsal one, is the smallest, but when it is is rentral one, it is either a little smaller or nearly equal to that of the second in the dorsal row. Of the following pairs those of the ventral row are always larger than the dorsal. The ventral suckers gradually increase in size from the first to the sixth, the serenth, and the eighth, whence distally they become smaller again. Of the dorsal row the hooks become larger till the fourtl, the fifth and the sixth, becoming smaller again distally. The difference between the largest and smallest teeth is much greater in those of the rentral tiam in those of the dorsal row, and while the teeth of both the rows are nearly of equal size at both the extreme ends of the hand, the largest ventral tooth measmes about one-half as long as that of the dorsal. The tecth of the rentral row at both the ends also differ in shape, inasmuch as those near the proximal end have broader bases than those placed near the distal end.

It the extreme end of the tentacle, beyond the looks, there is :un area with a group of ten to thirteen suckers. These snekers are not of equal size, some having about twice the diameter of the others, and are arranged ronghly in fom or five oblique rows in the dorso-ientral elirection.

The pen is strongly chitinous; and has almost no free rhachis. The rentral surface of the rhachis is hollowed ont in the shape of a semicircle; its median portion is represented by a narrow colorless line, white the two margins are thickened and deeply eolored. These margins first direrge very slowly till abont one-half from the anterior end of the entire length of the pen, whence they converge gradually to the tubman cone. The
marginal area begins close to the anterior end of the pen, and spreads out horizontally on both sides of the rhachis for the anterior thirds, then it begins to curve downward, the curvature gradually increasing posteriorly. The breadth of the marginal area gradually increases till about the middle of the pen. Posteriorly it decreases gradually till it reaches the cone. As, however, the marginal area curves ventralward the broadert portion of the pen appears to lie at about the middle of its length, when it is viewed either from the dorsal or from the rentral side. The anterior end of the marginal area is nearly uniformly colored, posteriorly it shows a number of deep brown-colored striations running outward and downward. Along the margins of the posterior half of the pen, these striations become, so to speak, collected together and form a strong rodlike rib on each side. The two ribs approach each other and unite to form the posterior lip of the spoon. The posterior end of the rhachis begins to bend toward the rentral side at the dorsal end of the cone, where it mites the above mentioned lateral ribs of the marginal area. The terminal cone is not cylindrical, but is triangular with a narrower dorsal, and broader lateral surfaces. The dorsal surface, which forms the base of the triangle, is not of an equal breadth, but is narrowed both anteriorly and posteriorly. At the anterior end, which is narrower than the posterior, it is continuous with the median posterior end of the rhachis which here becomes broader, the lateral chitinous portions of which becoming, as stated above, united with the margins of the marginal area. The broadest part of the base of the triangle lies at about one-third from the anterior end. The sides of the triangle have also a triangular shape with a long base which is represented by the margin of the dorsal side and with two unequal sides, the one along the posterior limb of the marginal area, and the other represented by the rentral line. This rentral line as well as the dorsal flattened surface of the cone is curved dorsalwards, so that the side view of the cone looks like a slightly hent horn of cattle. The side of the cone is marked with fine striations diverging from the posterior ventral mid-lip of the spoon.

The color of the animal is purple-brown with a yellowish pearly luster; the ventral side lighter.

Of the five animals, we obtained, one was found by C. Ishikawa on the
beach at Hayama, Sagani Bay, most probably thrown away by fishermen, as the animal is not palatable. The four others were canglit by fishermen off Misaki Station, also Sagami Bay, at a depth of from four to five hundred fathoms.

The peculiar plastered structure of the dermis, the relative lengtl and the shape of the siphonal and the mantle cartilages, the three longitudinal neck folds; the ventral position of the simus of the eye-lid; the buceal membrane with seren points and fastenings; six water pores; the presence of a membrane surrounding the fixing apparatus of the carpal portion of the tentacular clul); arms with only two rhachial rows of hooks; and the structure of the gladius; all these characters combined show without doubt, that the abore described animal belougs to the genus Morotenllis. The only point which apparently does not coincide with this genns is the absence of the furrow on the anterior portion along the middorsal line of the mantle. This is apparently visible in one of the five specimens, but is lacking in four, so that we can safely state that this furrow does not exist in our forms. If we can verify this point on all other specimens of the species, we have to look upon its presence or absence only as specific characters.

As well known, ouly tro species are till now kuown of the genus Morotcuthis, J. robuste (Dall) Terrille, and M. ingens. (E. A. Smitir). From robuste it differs in the following points: 1) The elevations of the dermis which in robusta run more or less obliquely and transmersally to the body of the auimal, rum in our species longitudinally. 2) The posterior end of the fins are produced more strongly in rolustn than in our species. ${ }^{5}$ It will be remarked lore that the shape of the fin of our specimens stands between those of rolusta and ingens. 3) The neck-folds are directed obliquely backward in robustu, whereas in our species the anterior portions are placed longitudinally. 4) The number of suckers on the fixiog apparatus is fewer in our species than in robusta, there being $10-11$ in the latter and

[^1]7 or 8 in the former. 5) The number of hooks in the havd portion of the tentacle in robusta amounts to 18 pairs, whereas in our species only 13 pairs are fomd. To these we can perlaps mention the gigantic size of robusta compared with the present species.

The following points can be enumerated as differences between the present species and ingens: 1) The shape of the mantle of our species is rather sleuder, aud is more or less evenly conical, and greatly produced posteriorly; the length of the mantle to its greatest lreadth being about 4:1. In ingens the mantle is relatively shorter, its length to breadth being about 3:1, and bulges out at near its posterior third, whence it narrows rather abruptly. 2) In ingens the elevations of the dermis on the mantle are angular or spherical, the interspaces between them forming a network, whereas in our species, as stated above, these are arranged more or less in longitudinal directions. 3) The posterior end of the inner margin of the ear-love at the anterior attachment of the fin is directed outward in ingens and inward in onr species. 4) The length aud the breadth of the fins in percentage to the length of the mantle differs in two species. These are $49-58 \%$ and $61-71 \%$ in ingens and $52-55 \%$ and $48-55 \%$ in our species. 5) The inner surface of the buccal membrane is beset with large villi in ingens, and with longitudinal foldings in our species. 6) The relative length of the arms, of which the third is longer than the second and the fourth in ingens, while in our species it is shorter. 7) The number of suckers in the fixing apparatus is $8-13$ in infens, and 8 or 7 in our species. 8) The number of suckers on the terminal area of the tentacle is stated to be 13-18 in ingens, whereas in our species it is 10-14. And lastly, there are $13-16$ pairs of hooks on the hand portion in ingens, and 13 pairs in all our five specimens.

These differences may be ennmerated as follows:-
The shape of $\left\{\begin{array}{c|c}\text { MI. ingens. } \\ \text { Club-shaped, } \\ \text { bulging out at the } \\ \text { posterior third. }\end{array} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{c}\text { M. rolustc. } \\ \text { Erenly conical. }\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { Our species. } \\ \text { Retort-shaped, } \\ \text { bulging out at about }\end{array}\right.\right.$

| The jength of the mantle to its loreadih:- | 11. ingens. $3: 1 .{ }^{6}$ | 11. robusila. 5) 1 . | Our species. $4: 1 .$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The structure of the mantle : | Plaster-like elevations on the mantle, spherical or transwersally clongated. ${ }^{7}$ | Plaster-like elevations on the manthe, directed more or less ohliquely or tramsversally. | 'Llae elevations on the mantle, direded more or less lomgitudinally ; those om the head shagreenlike. |
| The shape of the fins :- | Rhomboidal ; the antero-lateral margins nearly equal to or a little shorter than the posterolateral, the lateral angles lie therefor nearly in a line passing through the midille of the length of the fins. | Rhomloidal, with the posterior end strongly clongated ; antero-lateral margins nearly $1 / 2$ as long as the posterolateral ; the lateral angles lie furtlier on the anterior half of the fius. ${ }^{9}$ | Lhomboidal, with the: posterior curd elongated, the ante-ro-lateral margins abont $2 / 3$ of the postero-lateral ; the lateral angles lic at about $1 /: 3$ of the anterion emb of the length of the fins. |
| The ear-lobe of the fin:- | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { The posterion end } \\ \text { of the imme margin } \\ \text { of thee ear-lone is } \\ \text { directed ontward. }\end{array}\right.$ | ? | The posterior and of the imer margin of the car-loter is directed townal the median line of the borly. |
| The length of the fin in $\%$ of that of the mantle. | \} $49-58 \%$ | 56\% | 5-5.) |

(1) Ronghly estimatet from the ligure given by Prefret: (Taff. \I).

 Where the eldations are elongated and whin transerse to the animal.
8) This is tescribelf from a single spocimen we observed.

| The breadth of the fin in \% to that of the mantle. | M. ingens. $61.71 \%$ | II. robusta. $43 \% 9$ | Our species. $48-55 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The longitudinal neek-folds:- | The anterior portions directed longitudinally to the neck, the posterior portions somewhat obliquely. | All neck-folds directed ololiquely backward. ${ }^{10}$ | The anterior parts directed more or less obliquely backward; the posterior portions transversally. |
| The inner smrface of the buccal membrane:- | With large villi. | ? | With Jongitudinal foldings. |

These are enough points, we think, to consider the present form of Moroteuthis as a new species, which wo have the honor of derlicating to Professor Einar Lü̈nnberg of Stockholm, not only for his kinduess in helping the anthors by sending them the necessany litrature on the smbject, but also for his valuable investigations on the plaster-like structure of the dermis in this gemus.

For the three speries of Moroleullis we venture to give a short diagnosis in the following lines:-

Mantle evenly conical, posterior end slanply produced; the breadth across the lateral angles of the fins shorter than the length of the same, and nearly equals the distance between the line of the lreath and the apex of the fins along the median line. This line joining the lateral angles lies at about the anterior fourth of the length of the fins. Hooks on the hand portion of the tentacle in eighteen pairs; fixing apparatns with ten to eleven suckers.

Mr. robusta Dill Verill,
Mantle bulges out at abont its anterior third, posterior end sharply produced; the breadth across the lateral angles of the fins nearly equals their length, and lies at abont the interior third. Hooks on the hand portion of the tentacle in thirteen pairs ; fixing apparatus with seven or eight snckers.
M. lünnbergii Ismmawa of Wakiva.

Mautle bulges ont near its posterior thind, posterior and not murh produced ; the breadth across the lateral angles of the fins greater than their length, and lies slightly posterion to the middle of the length. Hooks on the hand portion of the tentande in thinteen to sixteon pairs; fixing apparatus with eight to thirteen suckers.
M. ingens (E. A. Smith.).

## EXPLANATION OF PLATES.

All the fignres are photographic reproductions from mature, by Messers. T. Kaiexima, R. Kubo and N. T'akamasi,

1'STE XLS.

Fig. 1. Female anmal, specimen No. 5, ventral virw. $1 / 2$ nat. size.

## PLATE XLVI.

Moroteuthis lönntergii Ishikata et Wakiva.
Fig. 2. Tentacular elubs, specimen No. 4. Fig. 2a, left, oral view; Fig 2b, right, aboral view. Twice nat. size.

Fig. 3. Mead, specimen No. 5, dorsal view, showing the dermal structure. Nat. size.
Fig. 4. Siphon laid open, showing the siphonal organs and the valve. Nat size.
Fig. 5. Left mantle eartilage. Nat. size.
Fig. 6. Hend, ventral view, showing the siphonal groove; the siphon is pushed a little to one side. Nat. size.

Fig. 7. Oral cone with basal portions of the arms. specimen No. 5. 2/3 nat. size.
Fig. 8. Head, side view, showing the longitudinal foldings on the neck, specimen No. 4. Nat, size.
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[^0]:    3 The tiy of the arm is torn off.
    4 The right tentacle of this specimen is rery mand contrinted.

[^1]:    - Thompson describes the fins in his specimen as follows: "The broudest part of the fins is about twenty-sereu inches from the apex, which they reach, and towards which their trapezoidal outline is sharply narrowed." 1.c. p.992. This corresponds well with what wo observed in our specimen of robusea (see our paper in this mmber of the Journal). The different shape of the fins sketched by Dall and given by Vemmal in his Plate is apparently to be ascribed to the erroneous observation of DaLf, rather than to true differences existing between them.

